

August 2, 2011 3:04 am

Greenspan's reasoning on 'excessive' equity is misleading

From Prof Anat R. Admati and others.

Sir, Alan Greenspan's article "Regulators must risk more to push growth" (July 27) involves false characterisations and inappropriate analogies. Therefore, it is misleading about capital requirements and their costs.

If banks hold excessive liquid asset reserves, as Mr Greenspan asserts, this has little to do with capital regulation. The reserve levels are the result of banks' own choices, presumably coloured by the experience of wholesale markets drying up after Lehman's insolvency. Is Mr Greenspan second-guessing market participants?

Mr Greenspan writes that "excess bank equity capital ... would constitute a buffer that is not otherwise available to finance productivity-enhancing capital investment". This, and the mixing of liquidity reserves with the capital regulation debate, is an example of the pervasive fallacy that capital regulation forces banks to "hold" idle funds. Capital requirements do not constrain how banks invest their funds. They merely require them to use less debt and more equity funding for these investments.

Mr Greenspan compares safety measures in financial systems to the use of expensive building materials for "earthquake flexibility". This is a false comparison. Seismic safety requires real investment. Replacing debt with equity does not. Moreover, changing the funding mix imposes few, if any, costs on the economy, while its benefits extend beyond safety to better incentives to manage risk and fewer refinancing problems due to overhanging debt. The main impact of higher equity requirements on banks' funding costs is due to lost tax advantages and subsidies, particularly the highly distortive too-big-to-fail guarantees that he despises.

Contrary to Mr Greenspan's suggestion, financial crises, which are often caused or exacerbated by flawed policies, are not like natural disasters. As Mr Greenspan recognises, adequate equity-to-asset ratios would probably have kept problems in US mortgages from leading to a global crisis, a prolonged recession and bail-outs. Unless the fragility of the financial system is reduced, financial disasters can recur frequently. Protection against such disasters through increased equity requirements, unlike protection against natural disasters, does not entail high social costs.

Some claim that equity requirements substantially higher than Basel would be “excessive” without offering an explanation. In the 19th century, a time that Mr Greenspan extols and one without government bail-outs, banks funded their assets with as much as 40 per cent equity. Banks themselves frequently require borrowers to contribute equity in excess of 25 per cent. It is low equity requirements and manipulable risk-weighting systems that allow the build-up of systemic risk and put us in danger, not “excessive” equity.

Prof Anat R. Admati,

Stanford University

Prof Arnoud Boot,

University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

Prof John H. Boyd,

University of Minnesota

Prof (Emeritus) Richard Brealey,

London Business School

Prof (Emeritus) Michael Brennan,

University of California, Los Angeles

Prof Peter M. DeMarzo,

Stanford University

Prof Eugene F. Fama,

University of Chicago

Prof Michael Fishman,

Northwestern University

Prof Charles Goodhart,

London School of Economics and Political Science

Prof Martin F. Hellwig,

Max Planck Institute for Research on Collective Goods, Bonn

Prof Ravi Jagannathan,

Northwestern University

Prof Hayne Leland,

University of California, Berkeley

Prof David Miles,

Imperial College

Prof Paul Pfleiderer,

Stanford University

Prof Rafael Repullo,

Centro de Estudios Monetarios y Financieros (CEMFI)

Prof Stephen A. Ross,

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Prof André Sapir,

Free University of Brussels (ULB)

Prof (Emeritus) William F. Sharpe,

Stanford University (Nobel laureate, 1990)

Prof Chester S. Spatt,

Carnegie Mellon University

Prof Anjan Thakor,

Washington University in St Louis

*For a link to the signatories' full affiliations and biographies, go to
<http://www.gsb.stanford.edu/news/research/admatiopen2.html>*

Printed from: <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/d99473a6-bc97-11e0-adac-00144feabdc0.html>

Print a single copy of this article for personal use. Contact us if you wish to print more to distribute to others.

© **THE FINANCIAL TIMES LTD 2012** FT and 'Financial Times' are trademarks of The Financial Times Ltd.